IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (LODGING) NO. 942 OF 2007

Saloni Deepak Sheth ... Petitioner

versus

University of Mumbai and another ... Respondents

Mr. Santosh Shetty, instructed by Mr. M.M. Vashi, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.V. Phadnis with Mr. Sagar Talekar for respondent No.1.
Mr. A.S. Karwande for respondent No.2.

CORAM: **SWATANTER KUMAR, C.J.** &
S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE: **APRIL 21, 2007**.

P.C.

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents submit that though the circular provides that admission forms to the examination should be received by the University in February, 2007, but, keeping in view the exceptional circumstances of the case and without treating it as a precedent or in any way a concession on principle, the University authorities may issue the roll number to the student provided she deposits the fee with late fee as required under the Rules during the course of the day. If this is done, hall ticket with roll number shall be supplied during the course of the day. In the event

of default, the petitioner would not be permitted to take the examination. We make it clear that this concession on behalf of the University or the College would not bind them and would not be treated as a precedent.

3. Writ Petition is disposed of with the above directions.

CHIEF JUSTICE

S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.